

09:35:52 1 **THE TRIBUNAL RESUMED AS FOLLOWS ON FRIDAY**

2 **10TH OCTOBER 2008 AT 10.30 AM:**

3
4 CHAIRMAN: Morning, Mr. Quinn.

10:34:36 5
6 MR. QUINN: Morning, Sir. Mr. Tom Williams please.

7
8 **MR. TOM WILLIAMS RETURNS TO THE WITNESS BOX AND IS QUESTIONED**

9 **BY MR. QUINN AS FOLLOWS:**

10:34:47 10
11 CHAIRMAN: Morning, Mr. Williams.

12 A. Morning.

13 Q. 1 Morning, Mr. Williams.

14 A. Good morning.

10:34:53 15 Q. 2 Mr. Williams, you gave evidence to the Tribunal on the 3rd November, 2006

16 isn't that correct, and your evidence is to be found on day 692 of the

17 transcript, that's on the web, isn't that position?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. 3 And I think among the matters dealt with on that occasion were a series of

10:35:12 20 possible payments to Mr. Frank Dunlop, isn't that correct?

21 A. That's right.

22 Q. 4 And following on your evidence the Tribunal received correspondence and

23 discovery from Allied Irish Banks and if I could have page 2111 on the 7th

24 November 2006, the Tribunal received a letter from Allied Irish Banks in

10:35:34 25 respect of Blackfern Limited trading as The Courtyard Restaurant, and in

26 particular in relation to account number 03075053, do you see that?

27 A. Yes.

28 Q. 5 First of all, can I ask you to confirm that that is the, an account of

29 Blackfern Limited?

10:35:53 30 A. That is correct.

10:35:55 1 Q. 6 And enclosed that correspondence were a number of return paid cheques, if
2 I perhaps remind you, Mr. Williams, that when you were last before the
3 Tribunal, I think, your evidence was to the effect that Mr. Dunlop was
4 paid, you thought, after the lands were sold, and after you had received
10:36:21 5 monies, but I think when you were -- when you were shown some of the bank
6 statements you were prepared to accept that certain payments on those
7 accounts could possibly have been payments to Mr. Dunlop?
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. 7 You would have signed the cheques on the Blackfern account, you were
10:36:41 10 operating the restaurant and this was the account for the restaurant,
11 isn't that right?
12 A. That's correct.
13 Q. 8 Did Mr. Butler or Mr. Kenny have any signing authority on the account?
14 A. As far as I was aware any two of us could sign.
10:36:57 15 Q. 9 Yes. Now if we, if I could show you in the first instance an invoice at
16 1887, it's dated 28th June 1993 and it's directed to Mr. John Butler,
17 managing director, Scafform Limited, you see it's an invoice 864 in
18 respect of agreed fees with regard to public affair programme re
19 industrial project at Cloughran County Dublin in the sum of 5,100 pounds,
10:37:30 20 do you see that?
21 A. Yes.
22 Q. 10 Now, can I show you 2114, this is one of the recently discovered cheques,
23 it appears to be a cheque dated 11th June 1993 and made payable to Frank
24 Dunlop & Associates Limited in the sum of 5,100 pounds, do you see that
10:37:51 25 cheque?
26 A. Yes.
27 Q. 11 Do you see the signature on that cheque?
28 A. Yeah, that's my signature.
29 Q. 12 Can the Tribunal take it that you wrote that cheque, made payable to Mr.
10:38:01 30 Dunlop?

- 10:38:03 1 A. Yeah, I certainly signed for it.
- 2 Q. 13 Yes. And can you tell the Tribunal the circumstances under which you came
3 to make that payment to Mr. Dunlop?
- 4 A. Well, I assume it was for part of his fees.
- 10:38:22 5 Q. 14 Yes. If we revert to 1887, this is the invoice that I mentioned a moment
6 ago --
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. 15 -- for 5,100 pounds which is the same amount as the cheque dated 11th
9 June, but you will see in the top right hand corner it says paid 17th June
- 10:38:41 10 '93. First of all, the invoice is made out to John Butler of Scafform
11 Limited, isn't that right?
- 12 A. That's right.
- 13 Q. 16 Do you recall receiving an invoice directly from Mr. Dunlop in relation to
14 any works carried out on your behalf?
- 10:39:02 15 A. I don't recall but that doesn't mean I didn't receive one.
- 16 Q. 17 When you drew or signed the cheque at 2114 did you sign that cheque on
17 foot of an invoice to Blackfern Limited from Frank Dunlop & Associates?
- 18 A. Yes, I'd imagine it was. It would have been done by the accounts office,
19 like the cheque is not written by me, it's just signed off by me.
- 10:39:31 20 Q. 18 But if the invoice was an invoice to John Butler at Scafform Limited, is
21 it likely that the accounts office would draw a cheque on the Blackfern
22 account for that invoice?
- 23 A. Well, it still would have had to have an invoice.
- 24 Q. 19 I appreciate that, but what I am seeking to establish, Mr. Williams, if we
10:39:55 25 look at the invoice at 1887 in the sum of 5,100 pounds, that's an invoice
26 made out to Mr. Butler?
- 27 A. Right.
- 28 Q. 20 The cheque which has been discovered to the Tribunal is a cheque signed by
29 you and drawn on the Blackfern account?
- 10:40:11 30 A. Yes.

10:40:12 1 Q. 21 Now --

2 A. It appears to be the same amount and to the same person, so --

3 Q. 22 Yes but is it likely that Mr. Butler received one invoice and Blackfern

4 received another invoice, both for the same amount?

10:40:33 5 A. I wouldn't have thought so.

6 Q. 23 Why not?

7 A. Well, if that relates to fees for his work on the Airport land then he

8 would have paid it or we would have paid it, but it appears that we paid

9 it.

10:40:51 10 Q. 24 Well, there have been several payments, isn't that right?

11 A. Yeah.

12 Q. 25 You know that at this stage, there have been a series of payments,

13 contrary to your original recollection, and all of these payments took

14 place between January '93 I think and July '93, and before the

10:41:06 15 confirmation in October '93. Is it possible, Mr. Williams that there was

16 yet another invoice made out to Blackfern Limited?

17 A. For 5,100 as well.

18 Q. 26 Yes, and which you paid by that cheque of the 11th June '93?

19 A. I wouldn't think so, but --

10:41:36 20 Q. 27 Was it customary for Blackfern to receive in their offices invoices made

21 out to Mr. Butler?

22 A. No, that's what I am saying we would have had to have an invoice for that.

23 Q. 28 Yes, so can the Tribunal take it that the invoice at 1887 dated 28th June

24 '93 made payable to John Butler, is not the invoice to support the payment

10:42:01 25 of the 11th June '93 at 2114?

26 A. I would think it probably is, but I -- it's the same amount.

27 Q. 29 I appreciate it's the same amount, but I understood to you say that you

28 would require an invoice to Blackfern Limited to support that payment, not

29 an invoice to John Butler for example.

10:42:23 30

10:42:23 1 JUDGE FAHERTY: If it could be rephrased as follows perhaps Mr. Quinn,
2 Mr. Williams, if Mr. Butler or somebody at his behest presented to you or
3 to Blackfern Limited, or to The Courtyard Restaurant, the invoice dated
4 the 28th June in the format in which it has been up on the screen, where
10:42:46 5 it was addressed to Mr. Butler, c/o Scafform Limited I think for the sum
6 of 5,100, what would have occurred within Blackfern or The Courtyard
7 Restaurant, vis-a-vis that? We know on the face of the invoice it says
8 something like re fees for Cloughran, isn't that correct, something to
9 that effect. So bearing that in mind, really what Mr. Quinn is asking
10:43:13 10 you, what would have been the procedure within Blackfern or The Courtyard
11 or whatever, for the payment of -- because Blackfern was the account that
12 The Courtyard Restaurant used, is that right?

13 A. That's right.

10:43:29 15 JUDGE FAHERTY: For the business of the restaurant or whatever, what would
16 have been the procedure within that?

17 A. It looks as though he gave the invoice and we paid it.

18
19 JUDGE FAHERTY: What Mr. Quinn is asking you is, I put that if you like
10:43:42 20 scenario, do you have a recollection of that being, that invoice as being
21 presented or do you have any knowledge of it, I think that's really what
22 Mr. Quinn is trying to ask, what we are all trying to ask?

23
24 MR. MONTGOMERY: I think in fairness to Mr. Williams, if page 137 of the
10:44:02 25 brief might be put to him as well.

26
27 JUDGE FAHERTY: Yes.

28
29 CHAIRMAN: Is it 8137?

10:44:13 30

10:44:13 1 MR. MONTGOMERY: No that's correct, 137.

2

3 JUDGE FAHERTY: Thank you.

4

10:44:22 5 CHAIRMAN: That's dated 11th May. To put even a bit more simply,
6 Mr. Williams, is it possible Mr. Butler got the invoice for the 5,100,
7 gave it to you or somebody in your office and by agreement it was treated
8 as an invoice to the restaurant and was paid as such? In other words, a
9 fresh invoice was not, would not in those circumstances have issued, it
10 would have been treated at your end as an invoice to the restaurant?

11

12 A. That's right, it is possible.

13

14 Q. 30 MR. QUINN: The document on screen, Mr. Williams, is a fax from Mr.

10:45:04 15 Dunlop's office to you on the 11th May '93 and it says "Outstanding
16 invoice attached as requested" and if we go to 138 we see the accompanying
17 invoice is an invoice for 12,100 pounds, again made payable to Mr. John
18 Butler, do you see that?

19 A. Yes.

10:45:25 20 Q. 31 Now, the cheque which we are dealing with, which is at 2114 is a cheque
21 for 5,100 pounds, you see that?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. 32 Now, the invoice which I have been putting to you at 1887 is an invoice
24 for 5,100 pounds. So, now what we have are two invoices, we know one of
10:45:51 25 them at least has been received by you, namely the one for 12,100 pounds
26 that appears to have been received in advance of the cheque of the 11th
27 June being drawn. However, the cheque is for a sum of 5,100 pounds not
28 12,100 pounds, whereas the invoice on screen is an invoice for the correct
29 amount, but again it's an invoice made payable to Mr. Butler or made out
10:46:16 30 to Mr. Butler.

10:46:19 1 A. Yeah, it looks as though Mr. Dunlop was -- his billing was to John Butler
2 and then he would pass them on to us.

3 Q. 33 Is that what happened, is that your recollection, Mr. Williams?

4 A. I don't really recall that detail of it but that would appear to be what
10:46:35 5 the case was, if the bills are not made out to --

6 Q. 34 I understood to you say before the cheque was drawn you'd have to be in
7 receipt of an invoice?

8 A. Yeah. It appears as though that was the invoice that was used.

9 Q. 35 I suggest to you that it's not, because the invoice on screen is an
10:46:51 10 invoice dated 28th June '93, although it does appear to have been paid on
11 the 17th June, but in fact if you go to 2114 you'll find that the cheque
12 is dated 11th June?

13 A. Yeah.

14 Q. 36 In fact the cheque is dated in advance of the invoice, do you understand?
10:47:21 15 Mr. Williams, can I approach it this way, there is no doubt but you wrote
16 this cheque and signed this cheque, isn't that correct?

17 A. I signed the cheque, yeah.

18 Q. 37 Yes, okay. For that cheque to have been drawn and signed by you, somebody
19 would either have to have given an instruction that the cheque was
10:47:35 20 approved for payment, isn't that right?

21 A. That's right.

22 Q. 38 And presumably that was you, because you were operating that account on
23 behalf of the consortium?

24 A. Yeah, but it could have been me or Johnnie or Niall.

10:47:49 25 Q. 39 Okay. But I suggest to you that if it was Johnnie or Niall they would
26 have signed the cheque they wouldn't have to present it to you for
27 signature?

28 A. Possibly.

29 Q. 40 Where was the cheque book held?

10:48:08 30 A. In the restaurant.

- 10:48:09 1 Q. 41 Was there, did Johnnie or Niall have an independent cheque book,
2 independently of the one in the restaurant?
3 A. No, not that I am aware of.
- 4 Q. 42 You were the person that was in the restaurant?
10:48:19 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. 43 So as a matter of probability can we take that this cheque was approved
7 for payment by you and signed for payment by you?
8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. 44 And somebody would have had to have given you invoices to make the payment
10:48:30 10 or you would have to have been satisfied that the payment was --
11 A. Payment was due, yeah.
- 12 Q. 45 Yes. You could only have reached that conclusion if you were satisfied
13 that there was an agreement that Mr. Dunlop should get money at this time
14 and should get a sum of 5,100 pounds at this time?
10:48:44 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. 46 Well who -- were you privy to that agreement? Did you conclude that
17 agreement with Mr. Dunlop or did somebody direct you or ask you to issue a
18 cheque to Mr. Dunlop?
19 A. Well, it would have been on foot of the invoice.
- 10:49:08 20 Q. 47 The invoice that you had at that stage was an invoice for 12,100 pounds,
21 if you go back to 902. If you had an invoice for 12,100 pounds I suggest
22 to you that first of all, you would write the cheque for 12,100 pound and
23 secondly, that there is every possibility that you would have asked Mr.
24 Dunlop's office to make the invoice out to Blackfern Limited, since after
10:49:41 25 all it was Blackfern Limited that was making the payment?
26 A. Well, I may not have gone into as much detail as to who the invoice was
27 made out to. I would have left that to the accounts department.
- 28 Q. 48 Was there --
29 A. I don't recall Frank Dunlop ever ringing looking for money.
- 10:50:10 30 Q. 49 Yes, was there a folder of invoices kept in the premises?

- 10:50:15 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. 50 Presumably your VAT returns would be made up from those invoices?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. 51 And your end of year accounts would have been made up from those invoices.
- 10:50:28 5 If I move onto the next cheque received, Mr. Williams, this is a cheque at
- 6 2112, it's a cheque for 7,000 pounds?
- 7 A. As far as I am aware those payments would have been considered a loan to
- 8 the three of us as against an invoice to the restaurant, if you know what
- 9 I mean.
- 10:50:46 10 Q. 52 I see.
- 11 A. We would have paid the money back to the restaurant.
- 12 Q. 53 This is a cheque dated 6th April '93 and it's at, as I say, 2112, it's the
- 13 sum of 7,000 pounds and signed Tom Williams, do you see that?
- 14 A. Yeah.
- 10:51:05 15 Q. 54 Again that's your signature I take it?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. 55 Now, the invoice which had on the screen and which was sent to you in May
- 18 is an invoice at page 138 in the sum of 12,100 pounds and dated 5th April
- 19 '93 and again made payable to John Butler, do you see that?
- 10:51:29 20 That's the fax through to you and then the invoice is at 138. Could the
- 21 following have occurred, Mr. Williams, that you received a copy of the
- 22 invoice in May but that shortly after the original invoice had issued you
- 23 had paid 7,000 of the 12,100 pounds and having received the invoice in
- 24 May, that you went on in early June to pay over the balance of the
- 10:52:01 25 invoice, the 5,100 pounds?
- 26 A. That could have happened but I don't recall.
- 27 Q. 56 There is a third payment, or a third cheque at 2116, again it's a cheque
- 28 signed I suggest to you by you, in the sum of 3,000 pounds, this time
- 29 dated 28th of July '93, which is the last payment that you appear to have
- 10:52:34 30 paper supporting, did you sign that cheque?

- 10:52:37 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. 57 What invoice supported that payment?
- 3 A. Again I'm not sure, I wouldn't necessarily have gone through all of the
- 4 invoices I would have left that to the accounts to do.
- 10:52:50 5 Q. 58 Well, if the accounts department filled out a cheque for your signature
- 6 would they not give you a copy of the invoice supporting the draft cheque?
- 7 A. No not necessarily, but it would depend if they had the documentation to
- 8 back up whatever the cheques being written.
- 9 Q. 59 What procedure did you have in operation in The Courtyard for signing of
- 10:53:09 10 cheques?
- 11 A. As far as I was aware -- as far as I am aware any two of us could sign the
- 12 cheques.
- 13 Q. 60 I appreciate that, but your partners --
- 14 A. I could sign up to a certain amount I think.
- 10:53:21 15 Q. 61 But your partners weren't in The Courtyard, you were in The Courtyard day
- 16 to day, isn't that right?
- 17 A. That's right.
- 18 Q. 62 And you were signing the cheques there?
- 19 A. Yeah.
- 10:53:29 20 Q. 63 In any event would you agree with me that contrary to what you originally
- 21 believed there were a series of payments to Mr. Dunlop before the lands
- 22 were sold and all of these payments were made some time after January '93
- 23 and before September '93?
- 24 A. Yeah, that would appear to be correct.
- 10:53:45 25 Q. 64 And how was it that you failed to remember that, Mr. Williams when you
- 26 came to give evidence?
- 27 A. I suppose just the amount of time involved. My recollection was that we
- 28 weren't flush with funds and that when the lands were sold that we paid
- 29 off anybody who was owed money.
- 10:54:03 30 Q. 65 Is it possible that Mr. Dunlop was paid other monies, other than what we

10:54:07 1 have been able to establish through the documentary trail?

2 A. Not that I am aware of.

3 Q. 66 If we look at 1275, this is the Coopers & Lybrand account, showing the --

4 A. This was the closing account.

10:54:24 5 Q. 67 Yes the closing account. Mr. Dunlop is recorded there as having received

6 23,025 pounds. Were you involved in compiling this account?

7 A. No.

8 Q. 68 Do you know how it was put together?

9 A. Well, it was put together by Jim Mullarney, I think, from Coopers &

10:54:45 10 Lybrand.

11 Q. 69 Yes but who was assisting Mr. Mullarney?

12 A. I'm not sure.

13 Q. 70 Are you suggesting to the Tribunal that the 23,025 pounds that appears

14 there is a sum that may not in fact have been paid to Mr. Dunlop, that he

10:55:14 15 in fact received a much lesser sum, or a lesser sum?

16 A. No, I would imagine if it's there that that's what he received.

17 Q. 71 For that figure to appear there, presumably somebody would have to give

18 Mr. Mullarney a series of invoices to support the figure?

19 A. Yes.

10:55:29 20 Q. 72 Who provided those invoices?

21 A. I don't know.

22 Q. 73 Were you involved in discussing the various amounts that we see on that

23 piece of paper?

24 A. No.

10:55:45 25 Q. 74 Do you see it's payment to Tim Collins, sorry to Collins Consulting

26 Services?

27 A. Yes.

28 Q. 75 29,613, do you recall advising Mr. Collins at a meeting with yourself and

29 Mr. Kenny, in The Courtyard restaurant that you were paying him that sum

10:56:04 30 as a finder's fee?

10:56:07 1 A. No, I don't recall that, no.

2 Q. 76 Yeah. Do you know how that figure was arrived at?

3 A. No, usually a finder's fee is a percentage of the cost, but I don't know

4 how --

10:56:22 5 Q. 77 The cost I think in this case, if it's the Molloy lands was a 165,000 and

6 that cost was incurred in 1989?

7 A. I don't know how that figure was arrived at.

8 Q. 78 Were you involved in negotiating the figure with Mr. Collins?

9 A. No.

10:56:43 10 Q. 79 Who would have agreed the figure with Mr. Collins?

11 A. Probably Johnnie.

12 Q. 80 Mr. Butler?

13 A. Yeah.

14 Q. 81 Mr. Butler denies that he agreed the figure and said he was contacted in

10:56:53 15 America and advised that this was the figure that was being put to

16 Mr. Collins for his finder's fee?

17 A. Well, he normally had the dealings with Tim Collins.

18 Q. 82 Was there no discussion between yourself, Mr. Kenny and Mr. Butler

19 concerning a finder's fee for Mr. Collins?

10:57:10 20 A. Not that I can recall.

21

22 JUDGE FAHERTY: Mr. Williams, the -- obviously any costs that would have to

23 be taken out of the amount received for the lands when they were sold

24 would affect what you and your partners would get at the end of the day

10:57:28 25 when all the costs were accounted for. So is it not likely that there

26 would have had to have been some agreement or at least discussion in the

27 first instance among you as to what Mr. Collins or Collins Consultancy

28 might receive? Some of those figures I appreciate would have been costs

29 that were already incurred and would have to be perhaps. It's an

10:57:58 30 accounting exercise in some of them obviously, but this was a fee which

10:58:05 1 we're told was paid to Mr. Collins once the lands were sold. So really
2 what Mr. Quinn is asking you is, is it not likely that there would have
3 been discussion, because whatever fee was agreed would have an impact at
4 the end of the day on what you and your partners would receive by way of
10:58:28 5 --
6 A. Sure. It is possible that a discussion would have happened but I wouldn't
7 have been familiar with that end of the, like my end of the business was
8 the restaurant business, I wouldn't have been familiar with how much a fee
9 should be or how little it should be.
10:58:41 10
11 JUDGE FAHERTY: Thank you.
12 Q. 83 MR. QUINN: Do you recall any discussion between Mr. Kenny and Mr. Butler
13 in relation to the payment to Mr. Collins?
14 A. No.
10:58:54 15 Q. 84 Did Mr. Collins provide any --
16 A. At some stage somebody must have discussed it.
17 Q. 85 Yes. What services did Mr. Collins provide other than finding the
18 property?
19 A. Well, he was kind of around with any help we needed, I think he introduced
10:59:19 20 Frank Dunlop to Johnnie.
21 Q. 86 Yes. Did he do any lobbying of councillors or introductions to
22 councillors for you?
23 A. I don't think so.
24 Q. 87 The first payment, Mr. Williams, is a sum of 3,025 pounds that's paid in
10:59:40 25 January of '93, then there was the payment of the 7,000 pounds and then
26 the 5,100 and the 3,000 and we have the cheques for the 3, the 7 and the
27 5,100?
28 A. Mm-hmm.
29 Q. 88 Now, I make that 18,125 pounds, being payment that is we can trace to The
11:00:06 30 Courtyard, do you understand, on the discovered documents?

11:00:11 1 A. From The Courtyard to Frank Dunlop, is it?
2 Q. 89 Yes.
3 A. Yes.
4 Q. 90 But the document at 1275, which is on screen?
11:00:19 5 A. Mm-hmm.
6 Q. 91 Credits Mr. Dunlop with having received 23,025 pounds, do you see that?
7 A. Yeah, well Jim Mullarney must have had documents to back that up.
8 Q. 92 So that means there is a 6,900 pound payment or series of payments
9 amounting to 6,900 pounds which, for which we have no documentation, isn't
11:00:43 10 that right?
11 A. That would appear to be the case.
12 Q. 93 Was there ever cash payments to Mr. Dunlop?
13 A. No.
14 Q. 94 Can you explain how there could be a payment or payments totalling 6,900
11:00:59 15 pounds?
16 A. With no invoices.
17 Q. 95 Yes, no invoices or cheques?
18 A. Like Jim Mullarney must have had documentation to back that up.
19 Q. 96 Do you know if Mr. Butler ever wrote a cheque on Scafform for Mr. Dunlop?
11:01:16 20 A. Not that I am aware of, I am not saying he didn't but not that I am aware
21 of.
22 Q. 97 Do you know why the invoices were going to Scafform and the cheques were
23 coming from Blackfern?
24 A. Probably because Johnnie was the introductory contact. I hadn't realised
11:01:33 25 that they were made out to Scafform.
26 Q. 98 Thank you Mr. Williams.
27
28 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Montgomery, do you want to ask anything?
29
11:01:43 30

THE WITNESS WAS QUESTIONED BY MR. MONTGOMERY

- 11:01:43 1
- 2
- 3 Q. 99 MR. MONTGOMERY: Just one quick question arising from that. In terms of
- 4 the suggestion that invoices should have been payable to Blackfern,
- 11:01:49 5 Blackfern wasn't the owner of these land, is that correct?
- 6 A. That's right.
- 7 Q. 100 Yourself, Mr. Butler and Mr. Kenny were the owner of the lands, you were
- 8 the people engaged in this, not Blackfern?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 11:01:59 10 Q. 101 So in those terms an invoice could not have issued to Blackfern, is that
- 11 correct, because it wasn't an expense of Blackfern?
- 12 A. No, I don't think it would have --
- 13 Q. 102 In your evidence I think you viewed that Blackfern was effectively making
- 14 a loan to the three of you?
- 11:02:13 15 A. Yeah, to be repaid.
- 16 Q. 103 Cheques have already been gone through on the last occasion. I don't want
- 17 to go back over it, I think the Tribunal have the cheque done in 1996 from
- 18 the sale of these lands, refunding back into Blackfern, is that correct?
- 19 A. Yeah.
- 11:02:30 20 Q. 104 From recollection I think it was a sum of some 66,000 euro, which has been
- 21 dealt with previously?
- 22 A. Which would have added to my confusion in relation to payments being made
- 23 at the end. I thought everything was paid at the end, but obviously there
- 24 was payments made to Mr. Dunlop before that.
- 11:02:46 25 Q. 105 Thank you.
- 26
- 27 CHAIRMAN: All right, thank you very much Mr. Williams. Thank you,
- 28 Mr. Montgomery.
- 29
- 11:02:55 30 MR. QUINN: Tuesday I think. We're not sure if it's half ten or 2

11:03:00 1 o'clock, but Mr. King is making inquiries in relation to the witness we
2 were proposing to have.

3

4

CHAIRMAN: It will be 11 o'clock for 2 o'clock.

11:03:11 5

6

MR. QUINN: 11 o'clock or 2 o'clock, yes.

7

8

THE TRIBUNAL THEN ADJOURNED TO TUESDAY 14TH OCTOBER 2008.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30