Tribunal Ref : RULINGS

Page No: 183



## Tribunal of Inquiry Into Certain Planning Matters and Payments

Appointed by Instrument of The Minister for the Environment and Local Government dated the 4<sup>th</sup> day of November 1997 as amended by Instrument dated the 15<sup>th</sup> day of July 1998

## THE SOLE MEMBER Mr. JUSTICE FEARGUS M. FLOOD

Determination of the Sole Member on Application on behalf of JMSE re Frank Connolly

Tuesday 23<sup>rd</sup> February 1999 at 2.15pm

Tribunal Ref : RULINGS Page No: 184

<u>Determination of Sole Member on Application on behalf of</u>

JMSE in relation to Frank Connolly

I have read the submissions made on behalf of JMSE and Mr.

Connolly. I have also read the Transcript of the programme in

question.

I recognise that Mr. Connolly's submissions were made without the

benefit of the detail of the submissions on behalf of JMSE. Mr.

Connolly is the Journalist who first published Mr. Gogarty's story

since sometime in 1996. As a result of this he has become involved

in this Tribunal as a Witnesss as to facts. Mr. Connolly is a working

Journalist and has been engaged by the Radio station, Today FM, to

comment on the proceedings of the Tribunal on a daily basis.

On behalf of JMSE it is complained that Mr. Connnolly does not

confine his comments to the evidence given on a particular day but

that he introduces material which may be the subject matter of his

own evidence and/or which is biased.

It seems to me that it would not be appropriate for me to direct that Mr. Connolly should desist from commenting on the proceedings of the Tribunal in his capacity as a Journalist merely because he is a Witness before this Tribunal in respect of certain factual events. Clearly it is in everybodys' interest, including those of Mr. Connolly, that any comments which he makes are accurate and confined to the actual evidence which has been given and the proceedings at the Tribunal. The proceedings of the Tribunal are not subject to the sub judice rule. Furthermore this is not a situation where a jury might be inappropriately influenced by any statement concerning its proceedings. Consequently I do not propose to make any form of Order at this stage.